15-Acetoxypinguisone and a Cyclocuparanol from the Liverwort *Cryptothallus mirabilis* Malmb. $\ddagger \ddagger$

David S. Rycroft* and W. John Cole

Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, Scotland, UK G12 8QQ

The new sesquiterpenoid 15-acetoxypinguisone has been found as the dominant constituent in the CDCl₃ extract of a specimen of the liverwort *Cryptothallus mirabilis* and the structure elucidated using ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy together with GC-MS and HRMS; a very minor constituent was assigned as $(2S,6S)$ -cyclo- $(7S)$ -cuparan- $(3S)$ -ol, but the major/minor roles were reversed in a second specimen.

A characteristic difference of liverworts (Hepaticae) from mosses (Musci) is their ability to form intracellular oil bodies containing a wide variety of terpenoid and aromatic compounds, some of which have unusual carbon skeletons that are unique to liverworts. Cryptothallus mirabilis Malmb. (Aneuraceae) is a rare but under-recorded thalloid liverwort of oceanic north-western Europe that remarkably grows below the surface layer of vegetation, often beneath other bryophytes (especially Sphagnum) and near birch trees. It lacks chlorophyll and is parasitic on an endophytic fungus. A second, closely related member of the genus, Cryptothallus hirsutus, was described recently from Costa Rica.1 The plants grow hidden from view and are not readily available in other than small quantities. A study of the lipophilic secondary metabolites (the oil body compounds) is possible however using the method we have been developing for studying small samples of liverworts. This involves the concerted application of the complementary techniques of NMR fingerprinting and $GC-MS$ ^{2,3} Here, we report the characterization and structural elucidation of a new pinguisane sesquiterpenoid from a sample of C. mirabilis collected near Glasgow. Pinguisanes are unique to liverworts and the non-isoprenoid carbon skeleton poses an interesting biosynthetic problem that has been investigated recently using 13 C-labelling.⁴ The NMR fingerprint of a second sample of the liverwort was very different and the major lipophilic constituent has been characterized as a 2,6-cyclocuparan-3-ol.

The ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum⁵ of the CDCl₃ extract of the first specimen of C. mirabilis (no. 96097) suggested the presence of one dominant compound (concentration 7 mM by comparison with the residual $CHCl₃$ signal³) in addition to small amounts of compounds containing long, partially unsaturated hydrocarbon chains. Analysis of the ¹H data, assisted by the results of homodecoupling experiments, suggested the presence of fragments 1 and 2 together with two tertiary methyl groups. These could be assembled readily into the pinguisane structure 3. The 13 C NMR spectrum showed signals for sixteen of the carbons of 3 (the ketonic carbonyl signal was lost in the noise) and the chemical shifts agreed closely with the data reported 4 for pinguisone except as expected, for C-15, C-4 and C-5. Observation of the fragment $(M^+ - CH_3CO_2H)$ at m/z 230.1307 by HREIMS confirmed that the molecular formula is $C_{17}H_{22}O_4$. The NOEs shown in 4 were observed in NOE difference experiments and demonstrate that C-12, -13, -14 and -15 are all on the β -face of the molecule (the

absolute configuration is assumed to be the same as that of pinguisone).

The CDCl₃ extract of the second specimen of C . mirabilis examined (no. 96271) gave a very different ¹H NMR spectrum, although 3 was still present to the extent of 2% according to GC-MS TIC integration. The major component (3 mM by NMR, 88% by GC-MS, M⁺ 222) showed four tertiary methyl groups (one geminal to oxygen; none attached to $sp²$ carbons), and a highly shielded proton (dd, J 5.4, 3.6) consistent with the *endo* methylene proton of the trisubstituted cyclopropane moiety of a bicyclo[n.1.0]alkane, but no olefinic protons. This compound could not be acetylated using acetic anhydride and pyridine. However GC/GC-MS investigation of the product of treatment with thionyl chloride and pyridine showed that dehydration had occurred. The major components in the GC-MS TIC trace of the dehydration product were two sesquiterpenes with M^+ 204 as well as a third sesquiterpene, M^+ 202, identified as cuparene by comparison with the published retention index (allowing for column differences) and MS fragmentation pattern.⁶ Cuparene was not detectable initially (although the two sesquiterpenes with $M⁺ 204$ were) and the conclusion is that it resulted from oxidation and aromatization of an initial, intermediate dehydration product. These observations together with the molecular formula $C_{15}H_{26}O$ show that the parent compound is a tricarbocyclic sesquiterpenoid tertiary alcohol. Cyclocuparanol 5 is the only tricyclic structure (apart from stereochemistry) consistent with the evidence that, following dehydration, could result in the formation of cuparene without rearrangements requiring

J. Chem. Research (S), 1998, 600±601\$

^{*}To receive any correspondence (e-mail: D.Rycroft@chem.gla.ac. uk).

[†]This is a Short Paper as defined in the Instructions for Authors, Section 5.0 [see J. Chem. Research (S), 1998, Issue 1]; there is therefore no corresponding material in J . Chem. Research (M) .

[%]Part 5 in the series NMR Fingerprinting of Liverworts. For part 4, see ref. 2.

the shifting of carbon atoms having to be invoked. An isomer of 5, $(2R, 6R)$ -cyclo- $(7R)$ -cuparan- $(3S)$ -ol, has been assigned^{\prime} as the structure of microbiotol from *Microbiota* $decussata$. The NMR data reported for a CDCl₃ solution of microbiotol are distinctly different from those of the C. mirabilis cyclocuparanol: whereas in microbiotol the most deshielded methyl signal is a doublet (J 0.9) arising from an antiperiplanar relationship with 4-pro-S-H, that in the C. mirabilis cyclocuparanol is sharp (even sharper than the other three methyl singlets) implying a difference in the configuration of C-3 relative to that of C-2. The C. mirabilis cyclocuparanol has therefore been assigned structure 5, $(2S, 6S)$ -cyclo- $(7S)$ -cuparan- $(3S)$ -ol, the absolute configurations of positions 2, 6 and 7 being assumed by analogy with those of another cyclocuparane derivative, grimaldone8 from the Marchantialean liverwort Mannia fragrans. GC $-MS$ showed 2% of 5 in the TIC trace of extract 96097.

Comparison of characteristic signals in the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of the C. mirabilis cyclocuparanol 5 with data⁹ for a compound reported,^{10,11} with the name $(-)$ -cyclopropanecuparenol, from Marchantia polymorpha demonstrated clearly that the two compounds are the same. Although the stereochemistry has been shown previously as $(7S, 6R, 2R, 3R)$, the only direct evidence of relative configuration comes from NOE experiments⁹ showing that 3-Me is on the same face of the molecule as 1-H-endo and is equally consistent with structure 5.

 GC –MS and retention index data 6 suggested the presence of β -acoradiene (9% in extract 96271 and detectable in the extract of a sample of no. 96097 that had been dried before extraction). Furan signals indicative of a second pinguisane derivative (8% of 3) were present in the ${}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of extract 96097; GC-MS showed this component had $M⁺ 232$ (suggestive of pinguisone or an isomer) and was also detectable in extract 96271.

The frequent production of spores by the dioecious C. mirabilis demonstrates that exchange of genetic material can occur readily (unlike the situation in liverwort species that are apparently completely sterile) and the dramatic difference in the pattern of secondary metabolites between the two samples is not as surprising as it might seem at first sight. The discovery of a pinguisone derivative in C. mirabilis is of chemosystematic interest because pinguisone itself was first isolated¹² from Aneura pinguis, another member of the family Aneuraceae. Cyclocuparanes are rare and this is the first report of a cyclocuparane derivative from the order Metzgeriales.

Experimental

GC, GC-MS, HREIMS, ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR (360 and 90 MHz respectively, CDCl₃; *J* values are given in Hz) were performed as described previously.^{13 13}C multiplicities were obtained from DEPT experiments.

Plant material.—Cryptothallus mirabilis was collected by D.S.R. and kept refrigerated till used. The liverwort was extracted by maceration with sufficient CDCl₃ to produce ca . 0.7 ml of a filtered solution. (i) Ref. no. Rycroft 96097, collected 8th August 1996 at Dougalston, Milngavie, near Glasgow; 688 mg (not dried) extracted 30th August 1996 (a sample that had been dried soon after collection and then extracted on 14th March 1997 gave similar results). (ii) Ref. no. Rycroft 96271, collected 28th September 1996 in Glen Creran, Argyll; 139 mg (not dried) extracted 6th October 1996.

Extracts 96097 and 96271.—GC-MS: R_i (% in 96097, % in 96271 for components $\geq 1\%$ in at least one of the extracts): 1443 (0, 9.2) m/z 204 (\overline{M}^+ , 20%), 119 (100); 5, 1541 (1.5, 88.2) m/z 222 (\overline{M}^+ 1%), 111 (100); 1576 (5.1, 0) m/z 220 (M⁺, 2%), 150 (100); 1605 (1.3, trace) m/z 222 (M⁺, 83%), 152 (100); 1672 (2.3, trace) m/z 232 (M⁺ 14%), 108 (100); 1932 (2.2, 0) m/z 244 (M^+ , 2%), 174 (100); 3, 1998 $(77.0, 1.8)$ m/z 230 (M⁺ – 60, 100%); 2222 (6.6, trace) m/z 262 (M⁺, 10%), 43 (100); 2279 (4.0, trace) m/z 304 (\dot{M}^+ , 1%), 174 (100).

15-Acetoxypinguisone 3.—Compound 3 was present $(77\%$ by $GC-MS$) in the gum obtained by evaporation of the $CDCl₃$ extract 96097; R_i (GC) 1998; δ_H 0.85 (3 H, s, 12-H₃), 0.86 (3 H, s, 14-H3), 1.02 (3 H, d, J 6.8, 13-H3), 1.90 (1 H, dd, J 19.4, 10.1, 2-H), 2.08 (3 H, s, OAc), 2.34 (1 H, ddd, J 17.5, 3.0, 1.0, 7-H), 2.35 (1 H, obsc ddq, J 10.1, 9.1, 6.8, 1-H), 2.77 (1 H, dd, J 19.4, 9.1, 2 α -H), 2.79 (1 H, br dd, J 17.5, 1.9, 7 α -H), 3.02 (1 H, dddd, J 8.6, 4.6, 3.0, 1.9, 4-H), 4.06 (1 H, dd, J 10.9, 8.6, 15 pro-S-H), 4.33 (1 H, dd, J 10.9, 4.6, 15-pro-R-H), 6.32 (1 H, d, J 1.9, 10-H), 7.29 (1 H, dt, J 1.9, 1.0, 11-H); δ_C 9.2 (C-14), 13.7 $(C-13)$, 18.4 $(C-12)$, 21.0 (CH_3CO) , 28.2 $(C-7)$, 31.9 $(C-1)$, 34.2 (C-3), 41.4 45.8 (C-8), 55.4 (C-9), 64.6 (C-15), 109.4 (C-10), 113.9 (C-5), 141.3 (C-11), 148.6 (C-6), 170.9 (CH₃CO); m/z (intensities from GC-EIMS but high resolution data measured using a direct probe) 230.1307 (100%) $(M^+ - CH_3CO_2H)$. $C_{15}H_{18}O_2$ requires 230.1307), 215.1066 (4) $(M^+ - CH_3CO_2H -$ CH₃. C₁₄H₁₅O₂ requires 215.1072), 187 (9), 173.0981 (9) (M⁺- $CH_3CO_2H - CH_3 - CH_2CO$. $C_{12}H_{13}O$ requires 173.0966), 160.0889 (56) $(M⁺ – CH₃CO₂H – CH₃CHCH₂CO.$ $C₁₁H₁₂O$ requires 160.0888), 159 (38), 145.0675 (30) $(M^+ - CH_3CO_2H - CH_3 CH_3CHCH_2CO$, $C_{10}H_9O$ requires 145.0653), 124.0885 (27) $\text{[M}^{+} - \text{CH}_3\text{CO}_2\text{H} - (\text{CH}_2\text{C}_4\text{H}_2\text{OC}=\text{CH}_2).$ $\text{C}_8\text{H}_{12}\text{O}$ requires 124.0888], 119 (14), 106 (9), 95 (9), 91 (10), 77 (10), 69 (6), 43 (41).

 $(2S, 6S)$ -Cyclo-(7S)-cuparan-(3S)-ol 5.—Compound 5 was present $(88\%$ by $GC-MS)$ in the gum obtained by evaporation of the CDCl₃ extract 96271; R_i (GC) 1541; δ_H 0.202 (1 H, dd, J 5.4, 3.6, 1β -H), 0.913 (1 H, ddd, J 8.7, 3.6, 1.4, 2-H), 0.959 (3 H, s, Me), 0.973 (1 H, ddd, J 8.7, 5.3, 1.7, 1 α -H), 1.002 (3 H, s, Me), 1.057 (3 H, s, Me), 1.302 (3 H, s, 15-Me), 2.116 (ddd sd, J 12.6, 11.1, 8.6, 1.7, 5α -H); m/z (GC-EI) 222 (1%), 207 (11), 204 (6), 189 (12), 161 (12), 151 (23), 137 (23), 121 (36), 119 (36), 111 (100), 94 (91), 79 (35) , 69 (79), 55 (66), 43 (98), 41 (65).

Dehydration of 5.—Thionyl chloride (30 μ l) was added to a solution of 5 in pyridine (50 μ l) at -20 °C. The reaction mixture was brought to room temperature, quenched with ice-cold saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (1 ml) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2 × 0.5 ml). The extract was dried (Na_2SO_4) , concentrated and analysed using GC/GC-MS: R_i 1474, m/z 204 (35%), 119 (100); cuparene, R_i 1475, m/z 202 (28%), 132 (100); Rⁱ 1506, m/z 204 (22%), 119 (100).

D.S.R. thanks Professor J. H. Dickson (University of Glasgow) and Professor J. G. Duckett (Queen Mary and Westfield College, University of London) for demonstrating Cryptothallus mirabilis after the 1996 Centenary Symposium of the British Bryological Society and during the 1996 BBS Summer Field Meeting, Ballachulish, respectively. We are grateful to Dr M. Toyota (Tokushima Bunri University) for copies of NMR spectra of, and information about, cyclocuparanol as well as for the gift of a copy of his PhD thesis.

Received, 14th May 1998; Accepted, 29th May 1998 Paper E/8/03615B

References

- 1 H. Crum and J. Bruce, Bryologist, 1996, 99, 433.
- 2 D. S. Rycroft and W. J. Cole, Phytochemistry, in press.
- 3 D. S. Rycroft, Chem. Commun., 1996, 2187.
- 4 H. Tazaki, K. Nabeta, H. Okuyama and H. Becker, Biosci. Biotech. Biochem., 1995, 59, 158.
- 5 D. S. Rycroft, J. Hattori Bot. Lab., 1998, 84, 105, Fig. 3.
- 6 R. P. Adams, Identification of Essential Oil Components by Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry, Allured Publishing Corporation, Carol Stream, Illinois, USA, 1995.
- 7 A. V. Tkachev, M. M. Shakirov and V. A. Raldugin, J. Nat. Prod., 1991, 54, 849.
- 8 S. Huneck, J. D. Connolly, A. A. Freer and D. S. Rycroft, Phytochemistry, 1988, 27, 1405.
- 9 M. Toyota, PhD Thesis, Tokushima Bunri University, 1987.
- 10 Y. Asakawa, M. Toyota, H. Bischler, E. O. Campbell and S. Hattori, *J. Hattori Bot. Lab.*, 1984, 57, 383.
- 11 Y. Asakawa, M. Tori, T. Masua and J.-P. Frahm, Phytochemistry, 1990, 29, 1577.
- 12 V. Benešová, Z. Samek, V. Herout and F. Šorm, Coll. Czech., Chem. Commun., 1969, 34, 582.
- 13 D. S. Rycroft, W. J. Cole and S. Rong, Phytochemistry, 1998, 48, 1351.